Peer Review Form

Argumentum- Journal of the Seminar of Discursive Logic, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric

- (1) Title of the paper:
- (2) **Topic evaluation**: (Are the problems discussed relevant for the contemporary debates? Do they fit the topic of the issue? Does the author have the expertise required by the topic?)
- (3) Evaluation of the Argumentative Coherence of the Text: (Does the paper have a unitary development of its theme? Are the points of view backed by arguments? Are they rationally linked with other points of view? Are there any contradictions within the text?)
- (4) Evaluation of the Original Contributions of the Article: (Does the text have such contributions? Is the text just a simple iteration of the standpoints developed by other authors? What do these contributions consist in: new ideas, new forms of re-structuring known ideas, something else?).
- (5) Evaluation of the Methodology Used in the Approach of the Topic: (What are the techniques used in data analysis? What are the logical methods? Does the text involve empirical research?)
- (6) **Evaluation of the Writing**: (Is the text clear, with valuable conceptual distinctions, and with an adequate use of language?)
- (7) **Evaluation of the Bibliography Used by the Author**: (Does the author know the bibliography of the topic? Does the author distinguish between the fundamental references and the peripheral ones? Does the

author prove that he or she makes actual use of the works cited in the bibliography?)

(8) The article is an acceptable scientific contribution: yes or no; yes, with certain modifications (to be specified):

(10 The article is publishable in *Argumentum*: yes or no; yes, with certain modifications (to be specified).

Recommendable modifications:

Name of the reviewer:

Date of the review: