"Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University from Iasi Faculty of Philosophy and Social-Political Sciences Department: Doctoral School Filed of studies: Philosophy

DISCIPLINE CHART

NAME OF DISCIPLINE	Theories of argumentation and interpretation CODE: SC							
CICLE OF STUDIES I AND YEAR OF STUD	D1 C		Se	emester	1	THE STATUS OF DISCIPLINE (OB- compulsory/OP-optional/F- facultative) OB		ОВ
NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK C S L Pr.	HOURS	TOTAL HOURS SEMESTE		NUMBEF CREDITS		TYPE OF EVALUATION	TEACI LANG	
0,75 0,75	24	24		10)	M		RO/EN

HOLDER OF	DIDACTIC AND SCIENTIFIC DEGREE, FIRST NAME, SURNAME	DEPARTMENT
ACTIVITIES OF COURSE	PROFESSOR MIHAI-DAN CHIŢOIU	DOCTORAL SCHOOL

HOLDER OF THE ACTIVITIES	DIDACTIC AND SCIENTIFIC DEGREE, FIRST NAME, SURNAME	DEPARTMENT
OF SEMINAR / PRACTIC WORK	PROFESSOR MIHAI-DAN CHIŢOIU	DOCTORAL SCHOOL

PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED		
DISCIPLINES		

·	
OBJECTIVES*	1. Development of interpretive strategies through cultural and historical contextualization
	2. Establishing typological criteria of philosophical argumentation
	2. Establishing typological effects of philosophical argumentation
	SPECIFIC COMPETENCES GAINED
PROFESSIONAL	
COMPETENCES	C1. Acquisition of comparative strategies in the act of interpretation
**	C2. Identifying the cultural contexts of the philosophical discourse
	C3. Understanding the ideological assumptions of the philosophical discourse
	C3. Chacistanding the lacological assumptions of the philosophical discourse
TD ANGLEDGAL	
TRANSVERSAL	Identifying the interpretative and argumentative paradigms put into play in the different horizons of
COMPETENCES	the philosophical discourse
CONTENT OF	
COURSE	Cultural horizons and meanings of philosophizing: interpretive dilemmas
	To compare: methodological strategies
	• Intercultural, transcultural, metacultural: arguments of crossing the limit
	Global vs. identity: philosophizing as an ideological argument

BIBLIOGRAPHY (SELECTIVE)	Walter Benesch, An Introduction to Comparative Philosophy. A Travel Guide to Philosophical Space, Macmillan Press, London, 1997. Robert M. Smid, Methodologies of Comparative Philosophy. The Pragmatist and Process Traditions, State University of New York Press, New York, 2009.			
	William Sweet, Ed., What is Intercultural Philosophy?, The Council for Research in Values and Philosophy, Washington D.C., 2014. Hwa Yol Jung, Transversal Rationality and Intercultural Texts, Essays in Phenomenology and Comparative Philosophy, Ohio University Press, Ohio, 2011.			
CONTENT OF THE WORKS OF SEMINAR / LABORATORY	 Cultural context and philosophical rationality: interpretive strategies The criterion of historicity in interpretation: methodological applications Liquid modernity and liquid interpretation: the arguments of contestation Identification of the ideological stake in philosophy: working strategies 			
BIBLIOGRAPHY (SELECTIVE)	Identification by Ph.D. students of some bibliographical resources other than those mentioned in course and seminar			
METHODOLOGI CAL LANDMARKS ***	Lectures, debates, discussion, text interpretation			

EVALUATION	methods	Interpretation, text analysis, conversation + presentation and discussion of paper
	forms	Mixed evaluation
	Weight of	50% seminar activity
	evaluation forms in the formula of final grade	50% assessment of course knowledge
	Minimal standards of performance**	Writing and presenting a paper

^{*} objectives are made according to the chart of professional competences for the program of studies

Date of filing: 01.10.2022 Signature of course holder: Signature of seminar holder: Professor Mihai-Dan Chiţoiu Professor Mihai-Dan Chiţoiu

Date of approval in department:

Signature of director of doctoral school:

Professor Nicolae Râmbu

^{**} at the level of description

^{***} didactical strategy, materials, resources

^{****} reported to the competences made in Objectives or at the Minimal standards of performance from the chart 1L/1M, according to case