"Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University from Iaşi Faculty of Philosophy and Social-Political Sciences Filed of studies doctoral school: Philosophy

DISCIPLINE CHART

NAME OF DISCIPLINE	SCIENTIFIC WRITING. METHODOLOGY				COD: SC		
CICLE OF STUDIES I (L-BA/M-MA/D-Ph.D.) OF STUDIES 1, 2, 3, 4			Semester		THE STATUS OF DISCIPLINE compulsory/OP-optional/F-facult		ОВ
NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK	HOURS	TOTAL HOURS EMESTE R *	NUMBER S OF CREDITS	(P-a	CYPE OF EVALUATION long the way, C-colloquium, xam, M-mixed)	TEACH LANGU	
C S L Pr							
0,35 0,35	20	62,5	10		M	RO/I	EN

HOLDER OF	DIDACTIC AND SCIENTIFIC DEGREE, FIRST NAME, SURNAME	DEPARTMENT
THE ACTIVITIES OF COURSE	PROF.PHD. IOAN ALEXANDRU TOFAN	DOCTORAL SCHOOL
or coersis		

HOLDER OF THE	DIDACTIC AND SCIENTIFIC DEGREE, FIRST NAME, SURNAME	DEPARTMENT
ACTIVITIES OF SEMINAR / PRACTIC WORK	PROF.PHD. IOAN ALEXANDRU TOFAN	DOCTORAL SCHOOL

PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED	ED
DISCIPLINES	

OBJECTIVES	1. Gaining an overview of the algorithm for writing a scientific text		
	2. Learning the specific norms for writing a doctoral thesis		
	3. Writing a scientific paper, on the topic of the doctoral thesis.		
	SPECIFIC COMPETENCES GAINED		
PROFESSIONAL	- Writing a scientific text according to all academic norms		
COMPETENCES	- Understanding the limits of a scientific discourse		
TRANSVERSAL	- Developing an interdisciplinary approach to a specific topic		
COMPETENCES	- Developing analytical and hermeneutical skills		
	- Using critical thinking skills		
	- Gaining the ability to present (orally and in writing) a scientific topic		
CONTENT OF	Topics:		
COURSE	1. General aspects of textual hermeneutics: concepts, problems, paradigms		
	2. Limits of the meaning		
	3. Metaphors of knowledge; paradigms of knowledge		
BIBLIOGRAPHY	1. Blumenberg, H., Paradigmen zu einer Metaphorologie (Frankfurt am Main, 1997)		
(SELECTIVE)	2. Davidson, D., "What Metaphors Mean" (în <i>Critical Inquiery</i> , V.1/1978)		
	3. Hollis, M., Introducere în filosofia științelor sociale (București, 2001)		
	4. Ricoeur, P., <i>Metafora vie</i> (București, 1984)		
	5. Rorty, R., Contingență, ironie și solidaritate (București, 1998)		
CONTENT OF	Analysis and critical debates over the bibliography; Writing scientific texts.		
THE WORKS OF			
SEMINAR /			
LABORATORY			
BIBLIOGRAPHY	1. Ferreol, Gilles, Metode și tehnici de exprimare scrisă și orală (Iași, 1998)		
(SELECTIVE)	2. Hollis, M., Introducere în filosofia științelor sociale (București, 2001)		
	3. Popper, K. R., Filosofia socială și filosofia științei (București, 2000)		
	4. Rad, Ilie, Cum se scrie un text științific. Disciplinele umaniste (Iași, 2017)		

METHODOLOGI	
CAL	Lectures, debates, practical exercises
LANDMARKS	

EVALUATION	methods	 Presentations Debate participations Completing scientific tasks Participating to the lectures/seminars
	forms	Mixed evaluation
	Weight of	50% seminar activity
	evaluation	50% assessment of course knowledge
	forms in the	
	formula of	
	final grade	
	Minimal standards of performance	 Knowledge of several writing styles Consistency in writing a scientific text

Date:29.09.2021 Signature of course holder: Prof. PhD. Ioan Alexandru Tofan

Signature of seminar holder: Prof. PhD. Ioan Alexandru Tofan

Date of approval in department: 4.10. 2021

Signature of director of doctoral school: